The Activation Strain Model : Understanding Reactivity and Selectivity of Chemical Reactions

> Lijuan Song September 4th, 2014

Introduction

 "Chemistry is the science of substances: their structure, their properties, the reactions that change them into other substances".

-- Linus Pauling

Useful Theories:

Woodward–Hoffmann rules

Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) theory

valence-bond theory

Marcus theory

Activation strain model

I. Fernández, F. M. Bickelhaupt. Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 4953

. . .

Contents

- Activation strain model
- Applications in chemical reactions

Reactivity study

Selectivity study

• Summary

The activation strain model (ASM), also known as the distortion/interaction model, is a fragment-based approach to understanding chemical reactions and the associated barriers.

$$\Delta E(\zeta) = \Delta E_{\text{strain}}(\zeta) + \Delta E_{\text{int}}(\zeta)$$

 $\triangle E_{\text{strain}}(\zeta)$: Strain (or distortion) energy, which is associated with the structural deformation that the reactants undergo

 $\triangle E_{int}(\zeta)$: The interaction between these increasingly deformed reactants

F. M. Bickelhaupt, *J. Comput. Chem.*,1999, **20**, 114 D. H. Ess, K. N. Houk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 10646





 $\triangle E_{int}(\zeta)$ depends on their electronic structure, on how they are mutually oriented as they approach each other, negative (stabilizing)

$$\Delta E_{\rm int}(\zeta) = \Delta V_{\rm elst}(\zeta) + \Delta E_{\rm Pauli}(\zeta) + \Delta E_{\rm oi}(\zeta)$$

- ✓ classical electrostatic interaction
- interactions between occupied orbitals, the steric repulsion
- ✓ orbital interaction accounts for charge transfer, polarization

 $\triangle E_{\text{strain}}(\zeta)$ is determined by the rigidity of the reactants, the extent to which groups must reorganize in a particular reaction mechanism, positive (destabilizing), a factor that gives rise to the occurrence of a reaction barrier

T. Ziegler, A. Rauk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **18**, 1558 W.-J. Van Zeist, F. M. Bickelhaupt, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2010, **8**, 3118

- The ASM establishes a causal relationship between reaction barriers and the properties of reactants and characteristics of reaction mechanisms.
- Reactivity trends depend on the capability of reactants to interact, the distortivity (extent of deformation) associated with a reaction mechanism, and the reactants' flexibility
- Activation Strain Analyses yield design principles for chemical reactions.



F. M. Bickelhaupt K. N. Houk I. Fernández, F. M. Bickelhaupt. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 4953

 A single-point analysis at the TS, only, yields values that can be misleading!



TS point:

a lower activation barrier in **B** is due to a lower activation strain for reaction **B**, rather than a more stabilizing TS interaction Reaction coordinate:

The interaction of reaction **B** is clearly more stabilizing at any given point along the reaction coordinate than that of reaction **A**

Be cautious when comparing the singlepoint energies of TSs occurring at different points along the reaction coordinate!

Contents

- Activation strain model
- Applications in chemical reactions

Reactivity study

Selectivity study

• Summary

Bond Activation



G. T. de Jong, F. M. Bickelhaupt, ChemPhysChem, 2007, 8, 1170

Bond Activation



G. T. de Jong, F. M. Bickelhaupt, ChemPhysChem, 2007, 8, 1170

1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions





There is a smooth decrease in barrier height from oxides to imines to ylides

The NB, AB classes have almost identical barrier heights

Ethylene, acetylene barriers are nearly identical for a given type of 1,3 dipole

D. H. Ess, K. N. Houk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**,10646

1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions



 $X = Y^+ - Z^-$ is stabilized by electronegative Z atoms, so the stability order of the dipoles: oxide > imine > ylide.

The narrow HOMO-LUMO gap of the ylides results in a very low $\triangle E_d^{\neq}$ When X=N, the dipole is stabilized compared to those with X=C

D. H. Ess, K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 10187

Reactivity



Distortion/interaction model

Ring-strain accounts for the reactivity of cycloalkenes ?

Table 1. Strain Energies (SE, in kcal/mol) of Cycloalkenes and Cycloalkanes

S.	Ε		ΔSE
55.2	cyclopropane	27.5	27.7
28.4	cyclobutane	26.5	1.9
4.1	cyclopentane	6.2	-2.1
-0.3	cyclohexane	0	-0.3
	55.2 28.4 4.1 -0.3	SE 55.2 cyclopropane 28.4 cyclobutane 4.1 cyclopentane -0.3 cyclohexane	SE55.2cyclopropane27.528.4cyclobutane26.54.1cyclopentane6.2-0.3cyclohexane0

Strain-release only influences the reactivity of cyclopropene and is not a general descriptor of reactivity.



F. Liu, R. S. Paton, S. Kim, Y. Liang, K. N. Houk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15642



F. Liu, R. S. Paton, S. Kim, Y. Liang, K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 15642



∆E^{*}_{dist} (kcal/mol)

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 15642



Smaller HOMO-LUMO gap gives better interaction The distortion of reactants facilitates the interaction between molecular orbitals The reactivities of different alkenes originate from the distortion energies.



In TS zone, the distortion energy of cyclopropene is significantly lower than other dienophiles, and as size increases, the distortion energy increases

Contents

- Activation strain model
- Applications in chemical reactions

Reactivity study

Selectivity study

• Summary

Ni-Catalyzed C(aryl)-O, C(acyl)-O activation



Distortion/Interaction analysis



Ni/PCy₃ -catalyzed activation pathways



Distortion/Interaction analysis



Origins of Selectivities in C-H Borylation



Origin of region selectivity are not well understood

Factors: steric effects

the homolytic or heterolytic dissociation energies of C-H bond the stability of the forming Ir-C bond pKa of the C-H bond

A. G. Green, P. Liu, C. A. Merlic, K. N. Houk. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4575

Origins of Selectivities in C–H Borylation

Distortion/interaction analysis

substrate	borylation position	ΔE^{\ddagger}	$\Delta E_{ m dist}^{\ddagger}$ (Ir cat.)	$\Delta E_{ m dist}^{\ddagger}$ (arene)	$\Delta E_{ m int}^{\ddagger}$	TS C–H length (Å)
7	2	6.8	10.9	59.3	-63.5	1.615
	3	11.8	10.2	70.8	-69.2	1.717
8	2	5.6	10.8	57.5	-62.6	1.597
	3	9.2	10.6	64.3	-65.7	1.648
9	2	8.1	10.9	58.7	-61.5	1.597
	3	12.8	9.9	71.2	-68.3	1.703
10	2	6.9	10.8	56.0	-59.9	1.569
	3	8.6	10.6	61.0	-63.0	1.612

Substrate distortion is not the major factor that controls regioselectivities:

 $\triangle E_{dist}^{\neq}$ is mainly controlled by the position of the transition state on the reaction coordinate, does not correlate well with the $\triangle E^{\neq}$ or the strength of the C–H bond

No obvious correlation between $\bigtriangleup E_{\text{int}}{}^{\neq,} \bigtriangleup E^{\neq}$

A. G. Green, P. Liu, C. A. Merlic, K. N. Houk. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 2014, **136**, 4575



Origins of Selectivities in C-H Borylation



A. G. Green, P. Liu, C. A. Merlic, K. N. Houk. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4575

Summary



Design new reactions with high reactivity and selectivity

