Spatiotemporal variability of land surface moisture based on vegetation and temperature characteristics in Northern Shaanxi Loess Plateau, China
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Abstract

Vegetation coverage and surface temperature are important parameters in describing the characteristics of land cover, which in combination can provide information on vegetation and soil moisture conditions at the surface. This paper aims to estimate spatial and temporal patterns of soil moisture in the Loess Plateau, China. Using Terra/MODIS images for each 10-day period in 2004 covering the semi-arid North Shaanxi Loess Plateau, a simplified land surface dryness index (Temperature–Vegetation Dryness Index, TVDI) developed by Sandholt \cite{Sandholt_2002}. A simple interpretation of the surface temperature/vegetation index space for assessment of surface moisture status. Remote Sensing of Environment 79, 213–224.] was used to determine the relationship between surface temperature and vegetation index. From the analysis, it can be inferred that the trend in seasonal change of TVDI is high values in the dry season (spring or summer) and low values in the rainy season (autumn or winter). Moreover, the land surface moisture of each watershed had its seasonal characteristics. The relationship between TVDI and land cover types indicated that water-retention in forest and shrub areas was better than cropland and rangeland in relatively wet conditions, and rangeland was better than forest and shrub areas in dry conditions.
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1. Introduction

Land surface parameters, such as land cover, land surface temperature (LST) and land surface moisture (LSM), are important parameters in the physics of land surface processes on a regional and global scale, combining all surface–atmosphere interaction and energy fluxes \cite{Fu_1999, Mannstein_1987, Ran_2001}.
One of the most important potential applications of LSM assessment is to provide information for agriculture on water stress for irrigation decisions and yield estimation (Penuelas et al., 1993). LSM can also be used to determine fire susceptibility of forests (Pyne et al., 1996). Spatially distributed LSM estimation is usually based on interpolation of field data or modelling of environmental factors, such as climate, topography, land use, soil and vegetation (Friedl and Davis, 1994; Fu et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2003). Remotely sensed data has great potential in the retrieval of LSM spatiotemporal variability. The increasing availability of remotely sensed data at various spatial, temporal, and spectral resolutions offers the potential to monitor and retrieve biophysical characteristics of ecological systems, such as LSM and LST (Lambin and Ehrlich, 1996; Liu and Kogan, 1996; McVicar and Jupp, 1998).

A variety of LSM retrieval methods using remotely sensed data have been published. Here, we provide some examples rather than a complete review. Based on the correlations of vegetation water content with the biomass, Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) images, generated from NOAA AVHRR GVI (Global Vegetation Index) data, have been used to monitor large-scale water stress and its impact on vegetation (Jackson and Schmugge, 1991; Kogan, 1995; Tian, 1993; Tucker, 1979). However, NDVI is, in fact, often referred to as a greenness index rather than a moisture index (Jackson et al., 2004). Combined with LST characteristics, Gao (1996) developed the Normalized Difference Water Index from a near infrared channel and a short wave infrared wavelength channel. To evaluate LSM status based on physical principles, Jackson et al. (1981) developed the Crop Water Stress Index (CWSI), expressed as a function of canopy and air temperatures. On the assumption that LSM is a linear function of canopy temperature and vegetation cover fraction, the Water Deficit Index (WDI) was developed from CWSI by Moran et al. (1994), and is related to the actual and potential surface evapotranspiration rates. Recently, a simplified land surface dryness index (Temperature–Vegetation Dryness Index, TVDI) based on an empirical parameterisation of the relationship between LST and NDVI was developed (Sandholt et al., 2002; Wan et al., 2004); this index integrates land surface reflection and thermal properties. In comparison to existing interpretations of the LST/NDVI space, the index is conceptually and computationally straightforward (Sandholt et al., 2002).

Remotely sensed data from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) offers an improvement in monitoring ecosystem characteristics on regional to global scales (Justice et al., 1998; Townshend and Justice, 2002). The present paper aims at demonstrating how Terra/MODIS data may be used to estimate spatial and temporal change of LSM and examine drought conditions in the Loess Plateau, China. Land cover, an alternative attribute that is easily obtained, also plays an important role in controlling spatial patterns of LSM by influencing the infiltration, runoff and evapotranspiration, particularly during the growth season (Francis et al., 1986; Fu and Chen, 2000; Fu and Gulinck, 1994; Fu et al., 2000; Hawley et al., 1983; Ng and Miller, 1980; Reynolds, 1970). To understand the relationship between land cover types and TVDI in the Loess Plateau, TVDI was grouped into different classes according to spatiotemporal distribution characteristics, i.e., mean and variance of TVDI monthly, and land cover composition, such as cropland, forest, shrub, and rangeland.

2. Study area and data source

The study area includes the northern part of Shaanxi Province, China between 107°28′E–111°15′E and 35°21′N–39°34′N, located in the middle part of the Loess Plateau and separated from the Yellow River. The area covers 80,606 km² and the elevation is 400–1900 m (Fig. 1), and the main watersheds include the Kuye, Wuding, Qingqian, Yan, Fenchuan Rivers and part of the Luo River (Fig. 1). The terrain has significant topographic variability with typical Loess hills and gully slope shapes. The area has a semi-arid continental monsoon climate characterized by cold winters, and warm summers. The average annual temperature (AAT) is 6.5 °C or less in the northwest and increases to 12.5 °C in the southeast. The average annual precipitation (AAP) is > 600 mm in the south and gradually decreases northward to 250 mm (Fu, 1995). The inter-annual variability of precipitation is as high as 40%; the rainy season is short, as > 60% of rainfall is in July–September; and > 50% of the precipitation occurs during storms (Fu, 1995; Fu et al., 1999). The hilly topography, intensive precipitation, and especially long-term extensive human activity (i.e., removal of the natural vegetation and farming-accelerated deterioration), have caused serious soil erosion. Most of the natural forest vegetation has been cleared and the current vegetation is primarily native and introduced.
N1: Gushanchuan; N2: Kuye river; N3: Tuwei river; N4: Yuxi river; N5: Jialu river;
W1: Toudaochuan; W2: Upper of Luo river; W3: Inland rivers;
W4: Upper of Wuding river;
M1: Qingjian river; M2: Dali river; M3: Middle of Wuding river;
M4: Lower of Wuding river; M5: Upper of Yan river; M6: Lower of Yan river;
S1: Yunyan river; S2: Middle of Luo river; S3: Hulu river; S4: Shiwang river; S5: Ju river.

Fig. 1. Geographical location of study area and delineation of watersheds.
The present land cover and vegetation types include cropland, shrub, forest (mainly in south mountainous area) and rangeland. Crops are mainly potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*), beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), maize (*Zea mays*) and millet (*Panicum miliaceum*). The forest is dominated by introduced vegetation, mainly locust trees (*Robinia pseudoacacia*). In this area, littleleaf peashrub (*Caragana microphylla*) is the most important of the shrub species. The rangeland is mainly covered by annuals such as wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum*), sweet wormwood (*Artemisia annua*), annual fleabane (*Erigeron annuus*) and sandy needlegrass (*Stipa glareosa*).

Data sources are listed in Table 1. Landsat data acquired on 7, 9 and 16 June 2004 were used to map land cover in the study area. MODIS 10-day VI and LST composite products in the period 2004–2005 were applied in the analyses that follow. Due to limited availability of validated Landsat data coinciding with MODIS collects, our analysis was limited to 2004–2005 and to the major land cover types. These data sets provided a means to assess MODIS estimates of LSM at regional spatial scale.

### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1. Data processing

Data preprocessing was performed with remotely sensed image processing software packages—ENVI 4.0, developed by RSI Company, and the geographical information system software ARC/GIS 9.0, by ESRI Company.

Prior to analysis, following atmospheric radiance calibration and initial geometric rectification of all the TM images using ENVI, a number of reference points were selected from a scale of 1:50,000 topographic map, and the TM images were rectified to a Gauss Kruger projection with a pixel resolution of 30 m × 30 m by using nearest neighbour rules provided by ENVI, to ensure that the error was controlled in less than one-half pixel root mean square error. The MODIS products were also rectified to the Gauss Kruger projection by the above method, and resampled to 500 m × 500 m pixel resolution. Finally, after the process of masking the data with the administrative edge, the analysis was limited to the administrative area of the northern Shaanxi Province.

Land cover classification was obtained with a supervised procedure using a maximum likelihood algorithm in ENVI. The main classes were forest, shrub, rangeland, cropland and water. To check the accuracy of the map, the layer with the field-checked sites was overlaid on the corrected satellite images, and the resulting Kappa coefficient was 0.79, which was satisfactory.

MODIS VI and LST 10-day composite products were obtained for the period 2004–2005. The VI product (MOD13) includes maximum value composites (MVC) of the normalized difference VI (NDVI) and enhanced VI (EVI), as well as the corresponding red and near-infrared (NIR) reflectance (bands 1 and 2) and quality assessment (QA) flags (Huete et al., 2002). LST product (MOD11) includes MVC of the daily result of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Date/resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM images</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126-33/34/35/36</td>
<td>9 June 2004, with a resolution of 30 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-33/34/35</td>
<td>16 June 2004, with a resolution of 30 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128-34/35</td>
<td>7 June 2004, with a resolution of 30 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS images</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST products (MOD11)</td>
<td>10-day composite in 2004, with a resolution of 1 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI products (MOD13)</td>
<td>10-day composite in 2004, with a resolution of 0.5 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topographic map of Shaanxi province (1:50,000)</td>
<td>Published in 2000 by National Geomatics Center of China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use map of Shaanxi province</td>
<td>Made in 2000 by Institute of Geographical Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
generalized split-window algorithm, emissivities in bands 31 and 32, error in LST and QA flags. For this study, we used only NDVI and LST values from the MODIS composite products, along with the VI and LST Usefulness Index in the QA data set. Reflectance values of NDVI and LST for dates with a Usefulness Index value lower than “good quality” were replaced by linearly interpolated values from the two closest dates with good, high, or perfect quality.

3.2. Temperature–vegetation dryness index, TVDI

The LST/NDVI slope is related to the surface evapotranspiration rate, and has been used to estimate air temperature (Boegh et al., 1998; Prihodko and Goward, 1997). Analysis of the LST/NDVI slope can be used to assess information related to real averaged soil moisture conditions (Goetz, 1997; Goward et al., 2002). Isolines can be drawn in the triangle defining the LST/NDVI space (Sandholt et al., 2002).

As a first iteration to obtain information on the surface soil moisture content, TVDI is defined as the ratio of LST differences between pixels with a specific NDVI value in a sufficiently large study area. TVDI can be defined:

\[
TVDI = \frac{LST - LST_{\text{min}}}{a + b \cdot \text{NDVI} - LST_{\text{min}}},
\]

where \(LST_{\text{min}}\) is the minimum surface temperature in the triangle, defining the “wet edge” (maximum evapotranspiration and thereby unlimited water access), LST is the observed surface temperature at the given pixel, NDVI is the observed normalized difference vegetation index, and \(a\) and \(b\) are parameters defining the “dry edge” (limited water availability), which is modelled as a linear fit to data \(LST_{\text{max}} = a + [b \times \text{NDVI}]\), where \(LST_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum surface temperature observation for a given NDVI (Sandholt et al., 2002). TVDI is lower for wet and higher for dry conditions, with values of 1 at the “dry edge” and 0 at the “wet edge”. The uncertainty of TVDI is larger for high NDVI values, where the TVDI isolines are closely set.

3.3. Moisture index (IM)

IM was developed by Thornthwaite (1948) and is a climatic classification measure of precipitation effectiveness for plant growth. IM allows for the weighted influence of water surplus and deficiency, which can infer the proportion of total precipitation used to satisfy vegetation needs. For a given station, IM is calculated by the formula:

\[
IM = \sum \frac{100 \times (S - 0.6D)}{PE},
\]

where \(S\) is the water surplus, being the total surplus from all months having a water surplus; \(D\) is the water deficiency, being the total of all monthly deficiencies; and \(PE\) is the annual potential evapotranspiration. The calculations of \(S\) and \(D\) are on a normal month-to-month basis; each is represented by the difference between monthly precipitation and monthly potential evapotranspiration (in cm).

The general approach used in this investigation is as follows. Linear regression functions at monthly intervals were developed to determine the parameters describing the “dry edge”. Using these parameters, TVDI was calculated to evaluate spatial and temporal variability of drought based on the Terra/MODIS NDVI and LST products. Finally, drought conditions of each land cover type were assessed.

4. Results

4.1. \(LST_{\text{max}}\) and NDVI temporal relationships

Steeper LST/NDVI slopes indicate drier conditions (Goetz, 1997; Nemani and Running, 1989). The parameters \(a\) and \(b\) describing the “dry edge” were estimated on the basis of pixels from an area large enough
to represent the range of surface moisture contents, and from bare soil to fully vegetated surfaces. In order to
determine the parameters, LST$_{\text{max}}$ observed for small intervals of NDVI was extracted in the LST/NDVI
space (Fig. 2), and the parameters were found using least square linear regression on the sloping side of the
“dry edge”.

Plots of LST$_{\text{max}}$ as a function of NDVI for each image illustrated the seasonal variability of the parameters
(Fig. 2). Winter (January) was characterized by low NDVI values and low LST$_{\text{max}}$, and a positive relationship
with a correlation coefficient ($r^2 > 0.83$) and slope ($b$) $\sim 0.16$. The relationship showed that in winter LST was
higher in the areas with more vegetation. From January to April, LST$_{\text{max}}$ increased, however, NDVI remained
almost unchanged, so $b$ decreased to 0.06. There was no significant correlation ($r^2 \sim 0.19$) between the
individual TVDI parameters, indicating that LST was similar for bare soil and vegetated areas. In July, all
plants were growing rapidly. There was a negative relationship between LST$_{\text{max}}$ and NDVI, with $b \sim -0.21$ and
$r^2 > 0.94$. The relationship showed that LST was higher for bare soil in summer. In October, both LST$_{\text{max}}$ and
NDVI had decreased. The relationship was weakly positive, $b \sim 0.07$ and $r^2 \sim 0.35$, which indicated little spatial
variability in LST during autumn.

Apparent random variation is evident in the seasonal variation of the TVDI parameters, in accordance with
Sandholt et al. (2002). The lack of correlation and distinct trends in the parameters may be due to several
factors, including highly variable atmospheric forcing. Other studies have reported similar behaviours of
seasonal variation in slope (Goetz, 1997; Prihodko and Goward, 1997).

Fig. 2. Dry edge values used for estimation of TVDI. Maximum LST was extracted for small intervals of NDVI, and the dry edge was
estimated by linear regression.
4.2. Temporal evolution of TVDI

The spatial distribution of TVDI for January, April, July and October is shown in Fig. 3. There was seasonal variation in TVDI (Table 2). In January, TVDI in the study area was low with a mean ($m$) of 0.27 and large spatial variation with a variance ($s$) of 0.10. In April, TVDI was generally higher ($m\sim0.54$) with little spatial variation ($s\sim0.08$). In July, probably due to the relatively high surface temperature of the
homogeneous cover type, TVDI was highest (m ~ 0.75) with the largest spatial variation (s ~ 0.18). In October, TVDI was lower (m ~ 0.29) and had high spatial variation (s ~ 0.13).

For areas covered by vegetation, LST is actually temperature of vegetation canopy. However, interpretation of surface temperature for sparse canopies is complicated, because the measured temperature integrates the temperature of both the bare soil surface and the vegetation (Friedl & Davis, 1994; Sandholt et al. 2002). In the Loess Plateau area, when water is abundant, especially in rainy season, evaporation capacity of the vegetation canopy will be very large and canopy temperature is correspondingly low; and NDVI, which reflect the liveliness of vegetation, will be large. Similarly, when vegetation cannot get enough water in the dry season, evaporation capacity of the vegetation canopy will be small and canopy temperature is high, and NDVI will be small. So, TVDI can indicate the regional condition of water and the vegetation capacity in absorbing water. Similar to other arid and semi-arid climates, the overall trend of TVDI in the Loess Plateau can be simplified as higher values and variability in the dry season and lower values in the rainy season.

### 4.3. Spatiotemporal variation of TVDI in watersheds

The spatiotemporal variation of TVDI in watersheds is shown in Appendix 1, electronic version. In the watersheds of the Loess Plateau area, in January, mean TVDI of northern watersheds was lowest (m < 0.20), however, TVDI in the southern watersheds was highest (m > 0.30), and there was little spatial variation with a variance (s) of less than 0.10 for all the watersheds; In April, mean TVDI of all watersheds increased to more than 0.50 and there was little change in s; in July, mean TVDI increased further to > 0.65 with s still unchanged, except for the southern watersheds; But in October, mean TVDI in southern watersheds decreased to less than 0.25, however, TVDI in the northern watersheds remained relatively high (m ~ 0.30) and s increased to 0.15, which was higher than averages in the area.

The spatiotemporal variation of drought could be divided into two distinct periods. From March to September, the land surface was generally drier with large spatial variations, especially in the watersheds of the middle and western area. October–February was relatively wet with low mean TVDI (< 0.20) and little spatial variation, especially in the north.

### 4.4. Comparing TVDI with moisture index

In general, TVDI is sensitive to rainfall, and decreases after rain events (Gillies et al., 1997; Goward et al., 2002; Sandholt et al., 2002). Validation using field measurements is difficult at the scale of Terra/MODIS imagery, so assessment of the proposed methodology may be best compared to LSM status over large areas (Fig. 4a and b).

To illustrate drought condition differences in overall magnitude and differences in seasonal response, a classification based on temporal and spatial patterns of TVDI was performed. First, TVDI layers for each month in 2004 were subjected to a clustering procedure, followed by a supervised maximum likelihood classification in ENVI; second, classes were aggregated into 10 final groups using a dendrogram and relative distance statistics. Finally, the mean and variances were extracted for each TVDI class (Appendix 2, electronic version). From the southeast to the northwest, the mean TVDI increased from 0.18 to 0.75 and s was ~ 0.02, which reflected the spatial trend of land surface drought conditions.

TVDI was compared with climatic factors; in the TVDI classes 1–3, the area with best LSM status, TVDI < 0.30, AAT < 5 °C, AAP > 500 mm and IM > 12; in classes 4–6, TVDI increased to 0.35–0.47,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
correspondingly AAT rose to 6.12–7.41 °C, AAP was reduced to <500 mm, and IM decreased from −12 to −20; in classes 7–10, TVDI continuously increased from 0.53–0.75, correspondingly AAT rose to 8.19–12.03 °C, AAP was reduced to <410 mm, and IM <−20; In general, higher TVDI corresponded to higher AAT, lower AAP and IM values and vice versa. The patterns for the TVDI and IM were similar, showing the effectiveness of TVDI in describing the integrated effects of land temperature and precipitation on LSM.

4.5. Composition of land cover types in TVDI class

To understand the relationship between TVDI and land cover types in the Loess Plateau, we assessed the composition of land cover types in each TVDI class (Fig. 5). According to the classification results of TM images in 2004, the primary land cover types were cropland, forest, shrub, and rangeland. TVDI class 1 was mostly shrubs and grasses; in classes 2 and 3, forest, shrub and rangeland were low and cropland high; and from classes 4 to 10, the proportions of each land cover type were similar. It can be inferred that water-retention in forest and shrub areas was better than cropland and rangeland in relatively wet conditions, and rangeland was better than forest and shrub areas in dry conditions.

5. Conclusions and discussions

The present study was conducted on the northern Shaanxi Loess Plateau area, and analysed spatiotemporal characteristics of LSM with time series data of NDVI, LST and TVDI. The main results were (1) the combination of LST and NDVI will enhance the ability to derive moisture data without the utilization of time and resource intensive field work, which is especially important in the many areas of research that span more than small plots, but rather regional and global studies. In this paper, TVDI based on a different type of sensor (MODIS) than originally used in developing the index (NOAA-AVHRR) was suggested for monitoring
large scale LSM status, and tested in the Loess Plateau area. Estimation of the TVDI parameters was most problematic in the dry season, and there was no distinct trend in the seasonal variation of the parameters, in accordance with Sandholt et al. (2002). (2) Evaluated against IM, the effectiveness of TVDI in describing the integrated effects of land temperature and precipitation on LSM was demonstrated. (3) Seasonal variation in TVDI indicated that the trend in TVDI is higher values in the rainy season (spring or summer) and lower values in the dry season (autumn or winter) in northern Shaanxi Loess Plateau area, consistent with Chen et al. (2003). (4) Spatial changes in TVDI indicated that LSM of each watershed had different seasonal variation. In detail, TVDI in the northern watersheds increased rapidly from winter to spring, but in the south decreased from summer to autumn. In the centre and western watersheds, the increment of TVDI from winter to spring was similar to the decrement from summer to autumn. Similarly, the spatial variation of LSM was seasonal. Typically, in October, the variance of TVDI in most watersheds, especially in the north, reached a maximum. (5) Using the time series of MODIS data, we can determine the relationship between TVDI and land cover types in the Loess Plateau area. With respect to vegetative restoration in the Loess Plateau, considering the variability of water-retention of different land cover types, it is suggested that increasing the forest and sparse wooded areas in relatively wet regions, and increasing the grass areas and promoting land reclamation in dry areas will promote better ecological patterns, such as reduced erosion potential and less desertification.

The present results show that TVDI extracts moisture data, but the satellite-based TVDI metric cannot separate the soil moisture information in the case of a full canopy. A better land cover classification may help to derive moisture information according to the vegetative characteristics of each land cover type. More rigorous examination with concurring field data to the TVDI with attention to temporal and spatial variation would further improve the present paper.
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